New Resonances at LHC are possible.

Multiple Point Principle and New Bound States in the Standard Model

L.V. Laperashvili[1]*; H.B. Nielsen[2]†; C.D. Froggatt[3]‡; B.G. Sidharth[4]§; and C.R. Das[5]¶

[1] *The Institute of Theoretical and Experimental Physics, National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute", Bolshaya Cheremushkinskaya, 25, 117218 Moscow, Russia*

[2] *Niels Bohr Institute, Blegdamsvej, 17-21, DK 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark*

[3] *Department of Physics, Glasgow University, UK*

[4] *International Institute of Applicable Mathematics and Information Sciences, B.M. Birla Science Centre Adarsh Nagar, 500063 Hyderabad, India*

[5] *Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics Joint Institute for Nuclear Research International Intergovernmental Organization, Joliot-Curie 6, 141980 Dubna, Moscow region, Russia*

arXiv:1703.01757v1 [hep-ph] 6 Mar 2017

Keywords: Higgs boson, top quark, multiple point principle, effective potential, cosmological constant, degenerate vacua, phase diagram, vacuum stability

PACS: 04.50.Kd, 98.80.Cq, 12.10.-g, 95.35.+d, 95.36.+x

*laper@itep.ru
†hbech@nbi.dk
‡c.froggatt@physics.gla.ac.uk
§birlasc@gmail.com
¶das@theor.jinr.ru

Abstract

In the present paper we argue that the correction to the Higgs mass coming from the bound state of 6 top and 6 anti-top quarks, predicted early by C.D. Froggatt, H.B. Nielsen and L.V. Laperashvili, leads to the Standard Model (SM) vacuum stability and confirms the accuracy of the multiple point principle (principle of degenerate vacua) for all experimentally valued SM parameters (Higgs mass, top-quark mass, etc.). The aim to get the vacua degeneracy requires a mass of the bound state in the region of 770 GeV.

Contents

1 Multiple Point Principle (MPP) 4

2 New bound states (NBS) of 6 top + 6 anti-top quarks 6

3 Two-loop corrections to the Higgs mass from the effective potential 7

4 Higgs mass and vacuum stability/metastability in the Standard Model 8

4.1 Two-loop corrections 9

5 Could the MPP be exact due to corrections from the new bound state 6 t + 6 anti-t ? 9

6 Conclusions 11

1 Multiple Point Principle (MPP)

In this investigation we assumed a new law of Nature named as a Multiple Point Principle (MPP), which was suggested first by D.L. Bennett and H.B. Nielsen in Ref. [1]. MPP postulates:
There exist in Nature several degenerate vacua with very small energy density, or cosmological constants (CC). This principle is based on the discovery that a cosmological constant of our Universe is extremely small, almost zero [2–4].

The MPP theory was developed in a lot of papers by H.B. Nielsen, D.L. Bennett, C.D. Froggatt, R.B. Nevzorov, L.V. Laperashvili, C.R. Das (see for example, Refs. [5–22]) and recently by other authors [23–26].

Vacuum energy density of our Universe is the Dark Energy (DE), which is related with cosmological constant Λ by the following way:

$$\rho_{DE} = \rho_{vac} = (M_{Pl}^{red})^2 \Lambda. \tag{1}$$

Here M_{Pl}^{red} is the reduced Planck mass: $M_{Pl}^{red} \simeq 2.43 \times 10^{18}$ GeV.

Recent cosmological measurements (see Ref. [27]) give:

$$\rho_{DE} \simeq (2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV})^4. \tag{2}$$

According to (2), we have a tiny value of CC:

$$\Lambda \simeq 10^{-84} \text{ GeV}^4. \tag{3}$$

This tiny value of ρ_{DE} was first predicted by B.G. Sidharth in 1997 year [2, 3]. In 1998 year S. Perlmutter, B. Schmidt and A. Riess [4] were awarded by the Nobel Prize for discovery of the Universe accelerating expansion.

Considering extremely small cosmological constant of our Universe, Bennett, Froggatt and Nielsen assumed only zero, or almost zero, cosmological constants for all vacua existing in the Universe.

Restricted ourselves to **the pure Standard Model (SM)** we have only three vacua:

1. **Present Electroweak vacuum**, in which we live.
   It has vacuum expectation value (VEV) of the Higgs field equal to:

$$v_1 = v = \langle \phi_H \rangle \approx 246 \text{ GeV}. \tag{4}$$

2. **High Higgs field vacuum** - Planck scale vacuum, which has the following VEV:

$$v_2 = v = \langle \phi_H \rangle \sim 10^{18} \text{ GeV}. \tag{5}$$

3. **Condensate vacuum.** This third vacuum is a very speculative possible state inside the pure SM, which contains a lot of strongly bound states, each bound from 6 top + 6 anti-top quarks (see Refs. [28–32]).

From experimental results for these three vacua, cosmological constants - minimum of the Higgs effective potentials $V_{eff}(\phi_H)$ - are not exactly equal to zero. Nevertheless, they are extremely small. By this reason, Bennett, Froggatt and Nielsen assumed to consider zero cosmological constants as a good approximation. Then according to the MPP, we have a model of the pure SM being finetuned in such a way that these three vacua proposed have just zero energy density.

If the effective potential has three degenerate minima, then the following requirements are satisfied:

$$V_{eff}(\phi^2_{min1}) = V_{eff}(\phi^2_{min2}) = V_{eff}(\phi^2_{min3}) = 0, \tag{6}$$

and

$$V'_{eff}(\phi^2_{min1}) = V'_{eff}(\phi^2_{min2}) = V'_{eff}(\phi^2_{min3}) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where

$$V'(\phi^2) = \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi^2}. \tag{8}$$

Here we assume that:

$$V_{eff}(\phi^2_{min1}) = V_{present}, \quad V_{eff}(\phi^2_{min2}) = V_{high-field}, \quad \text{and} \quad V_{eff}(\phi^2_{min3}) = V_{condensate}. \tag{9}$$

As a result, Multiple Point Principle postulates: **There are three vacua in the SM with the same energy density, or cosmological constant, and all cosmological constants are zero, or approximately zero.** Our MPP is really just an assumption about the coupling constants, i.e. MPP provides three restrictions between the parameters of the SM, from which zero energy density in all three vacua follows.

Here we wrote explicitly the following parameters of the SM:

Λ_{CC}: The cosmological constant,

g_t : The top Yukawa coupling,

m_H^2: Higgs mass squared,

Λ_{QCD}: The scale parameter of QCD.

$V_{present}$, $V_{high-field}$ and $V_{condensate}$ are the vacuum energy densities for these three speculated vacua. Taking into account the experimental values for all SM parameters, we could look at them, that $V_{present} = 0$ fixes the cosmological constant Λ_{CC} to be essentially zero (indeed, it is very small). Then $V_{high-field}$ (meaning the energy density of the vacuum having the very high Higgs field $\phi_H \sim 10^{18}$ GeV) could be taken to predict the Higgs mass. And the $V_{condensate} = 0$ helps to predict the g_t Yukawa coupling.

Assuming the existence of the two degenerate vacua in the SM:

1. the first Electroweak vacuum at $v_1 = v = 246$ GeV, and
2. the second Planck scale vacuum at $v_2 \sim 10^{18}$ GeV,

Froggatt and Nielsen predicted in Ref. [33] the top-quark and Higgs boson masses:

$$M_t = 173 \pm 5 \text{ GeV}; \quad M_H = 135 \pm 10 \text{ GeV}. \tag{10}$$

In Fig. 1 it is shown the existence of the second (non-standard) minimum of the effective potential in the pure SM at the Planck scale.

2 New bound states (NBS) of 6 top + 6 anti-top quarks

We assume that the vacuum, which we call "condensate vacuum", is filled by bound states 6 top + 6 anti-top (see Fig. 2). These bound states cannot be calculated perturbatively. Our aim was to estimate/calculate the value of the mass of the bound state of 6 top and 6 anti-top quarks speculated to exist in our model.

In Refs. [28–30] there was suggested the existence of new bound states (NBS) of 6 top + 6 anti-top quarks as so strongly bound systems that they effectively function as elementary particles. There was first assumed that

1. there exists $1S$-bound state 6 t + 6 anti-t - scalar particle and color singlet;
2. that the forces responsible for the formation of new bound states (NBS) originate from the virtual exchanges of the Higgs bosons between top(anti-top)-quarks;
3. that these forces are so strong that they almost compensate the mass of 12 top-anti-top quarks contained in these bound states.

The explanation of stability of the bound state 6 t + 6 anti-t is given by the Pauli principle: top-quark has two spin and three color degrees of freedom (total 6). By this reason, 6 quarks have the maximal binding energy, and 6 pairs of $t\bar{t}$ in $1S$-wave state create a long lived (almost stable) colorless scalar bound state S. One could even suspect that not only this most strongly bound state S of 6 t + 6 anti-t, but also some excited states exist. It is obvious that excited to a $2S$ or $2P$ state (in the atomic physics terminology), scalar and vector particles correspond to the more heavy bound states of the 6 t + 6 anti-t, etc. Also there exists a new bound state 6 t + 5 anti-t, which is a fermion similar to the quark of the 4th generation having quantum numbers of top-quark. These bound states are held together by exchange of the Higgs and gluons between the top-quarks and anti-top-quarks as well as between top and top and between anti-top and anti-top. The Higgs field causes attraction between quark and quark as well as between quark and anti-quark and between anti-quark and anti-quark, so the more particles and/or anti-particles are being put together the stronger they are bound. But now for fermions as top-quarks, the Pauli principle prevents too many constituents being possible in the lowest state of a Bohr atom constructed from different top-quarks or anti-top-quarks surrounding (analogous to the electrons in the atom) the "whole system", analogous to the nucleus in the Bohr atom.

Because the quark has three color states and two spin states meaning six ($3 \times 2 = 6$) internal states, there is in fact a shell (as in the nuclear physics) with six top quarks and similarly one for six anti-top quarks. Then we imagine that in the most strongly bound state just this shell is filled and closed for both top and anti-top. Like in nuclear physics where the closed shell nuclei are the strongest bound, we consider this NBS 6 top + 6 anti-top as our favorite candidate for the most strongly bound and thus the lightest bound state S. As a result, we expect that our bound state S is appreciably lighter than its natural scale of 12 times the top mass, which is about 2 TeV. So the mass of our NBS S should be small compared to 2 TeV.

Using our MPP we got three a priori different mass predictions for our new bound state (see Refs. [31, 32]):

1. High-field fit: The MPP-requirement that the "present vacuum" is degenerate with the "high-field vacuum" gives fitting mass m_S of the bound state S, approximately equal from 700 GeV to 800 GeV.

2. Condensate vacuum fit: We fit the mass m_S in a region filled with particles 6 t + 6 anti-t, having the lowest energy density just the same (zero) energy density as the "present vacuum". With a simple but accidentally almost true assumption, we fit the mass m_S (from condensate fit) $\approx 4m_t = 692$ GeV $\pm$ 100 GeV.

3. Bag-model fit: We also make a bag-model-like crude ansatz for the bound state of the 6 top + 6 anti-top, and seek the minimum energy by varying bag radius R. With very crude inclusion of various corrections, we reach the mass estimate $m_S \approx 5m_t = 865$ GeV $\pm$ 200 GeV.

Thus, we have suggested in our model of pure SM the existence of three degenerate vacua: "present", "high-field" and "condensate" vacua. If several vacua are degenerate, then the phase diagram of theory contains a special point - **the Multiple Critical Point (MCP)**, at which the corresponding phases meet together.

Here it is useful to remind you **a triple point** of water analogy. It is well known in the thermal physics that in the range of fixed extensive quantities: volume, energy and a number of moles, the degenerate phases of water (namely, ice, water and vapor) exist on the phase diagram (P, T) shown by Fig. 3, where we have pressure P and temperature T. Fig. 3 gives the critical (triple) point O with:

$$T_c \approx 0.01^0\text{C}, \quad P_c \approx 4.58 \text{ mm Hg}. \tag{11}$$

This is a triple point of water analogy.

The idea of the Multiple Point Principle has its origin from the lattice investigations of gauge theories. In particular, Monte Carlo simulations of $U(1)-$, $SU(2)-$ and $SU(3)-$ gauge theories on lattice indicate the existence of the triple critical point.

3 Two-loop corrections to the Higgs mass from the effective potential

The prediction (10) by Froggatt and Nielsen for the mass of the Higgs boson was improved in Ref. [34] by calculations of the two-loop radiative corrections to the effective Higgs potential. The prediction of Ref. [34]: $M_H = 129 \pm 2$ GeV provided the possibility of the theoretical explanation of the value $M_H \simeq 125.7$ GeV observed at LHC.

The authors of Ref. [35] extrapolated the SM parameters up to the high (Planck) energies with full 3-loop NNLO RGE precision. From Degrassi et al. calculation [34], the effective Higgs field potential $V_{eff}(\phi_H)$ has a minimum, which goes slightly under zero, so that the present vacuum is unstable for the experimental Higgs mass 125.09 ± 0.24 GeV, while the value that would have made the second minimum just degenerate with the present vacuum energy density would be rather $m_H \simeq 129.4$ GeV.

4 Higgs mass and vacuum stability/metastability in the Standard Model

A theory of a single scalar field is given by the effective potential $V_{eff}(\phi_c)$, which is a function of the classical field ϕ_c. In the loop expansion V_{eff} is given by

$$V_{eff} = V(0) + \Sigma_{n=1} V^{(n)}, \tag{12}$$

where $V(0)$ is the tree-level potential of the SM:

$$V(0) = -\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi^4. \tag{13}$$

The vast majority of the available experimental data is consistent with the SM predictions. No sign of new physics has been detected. Until now there is no evidence for the existence of any particles other than those of the SM, or bound states composed of other particles. All accelerator physics seems to fit well with the SM, except for neutrino oscillations. These results caused a keen interest in possibility of emergence of new physics only at very high (Planck scale) energies, and generated a great attention to the problem of the vacuum stability: whether the electroweak vacuum is stable, unstable, or metastable. A largely explored scenario assumes that new physics interactions only appear at the Planck scale $M_{Pl} = 1.22 \times 10^{19}$ GeV. According to this scenario, we need the knowledge of the Higgs effective potential $V_{eff}(\phi)$ up to very high values of ϕ.

The loop corrections lead the V_{eff} to values of ϕ, which are much larger than $v_1 \approx 246$ GeV - the location of the electroweak (EW) minimum. The effective Higgs potential develops a new minimum at $v_2 \gg v$. The position of the second minimum depends on the SM parameters, especially on the top and Higgs masses, M_t and M_H. This V_{eff} can be higher or lower than the EW one showing a stable EW vacuum (in the first case), or metastable one (in the second case).

Then considering the lifetime τ of the false vacuum (see Ref. [36]) and comparing it with the age of the Universe T_U, we see that, if τ is larger than T_U, then our Universe will be sitting on the metastable false vacuum, and we deal with the scenario of metastability.

Usually the stability analysis is presented by stability diagram in the plane $(M_H; M_t)$. This plot is shown in Fig. 4, where we see that the plane $(M_H; M_t)$ is divided into three different sectors:

1. An absolute stability (cyan) region, where $V_{eff}(v_1) < V_{eff}(v_2)$,
2. a metastability (yellow) region, where $V_{eff}(v_2) < V_{eff}(v_1)$, but $\tau > T_U$, and
3. an instability (green) region, where $V_{eff}(v_2) < V_{eff}(v_1)$ and $\tau < T_U$. The stability line separates the stability and the metastability regions and corresponds to M_t and M_H obeying the condition $V_{eff}(v_1) = V_{eff}(v_2)$. The instability line separates the metastability and the instability regions. It corresponds to M_t and M_H for $\tau = T_U$. In Fig. 4 the black dot indicates current experimental values $M_H \approx 125.7$ GeV and $M_t \approx 173.34$ GeV (see Data Group [27]). It lies inside the metastability region, and could reach and even cross the stability line within 3σ. The ellipses take into account $1\sigma; 2\sigma; 3\sigma$; according to the current experimental errors.

When the black dot sits on the stability line, then this case is named "critical", according to the MPP concept: the running quartic coupling and the corresponding beta-function vanish at the Planck scale:

$$\lambda_{eff}(\phi_0) \simeq 0, \quad \beta(\lambda_{eff}(\phi_0)) \simeq 0. \tag{14}$$

Fig. 4 shows that the black dot, existing in the metastability region, is close to the stability line, and the "near-criticality" can be considered as the most important information about the Higgs boson [35].

4.1 Two-loop corrections

From Degrassi et al.'s calculation [34] of the effective Higgs field potential $V_{eff}(\phi_H)$, there is a minimum in this potential, but it goes slightly under zero, so that the present vacuum is unstable for the experimental Higgs mass 125.7 ± 0.24 GeV, while the value that would have made the second minimum just degenerate with the present vacuum energy density would be rather m_H approximately equal to 129.4 GeV (from MPP by Degrassi et al. [34]).

Still neglecting new physics interactions at the Planck scale, we can consider for large values of ϕ:

$$V_{eff}(\phi) \simeq \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{eff}(\phi)\phi^4, \tag{15}$$

where $\lambda_{eff}(\phi)$ depends on ϕ as the running quartic coupling $\lambda(\mu)$ depends on the running energy scale μ. Then we have the one-loop, two-loops, three-loops, etc. expressions for V_{eff} .

The corresponding up to date Next-to-Next-to-Leading-Order (NNLO) results were published in several references, and show that for a large range of values of M_H and M_t the Higgs effective potential has a minimum.

In Fig. 5 blue lines (thick and dashed) present the RG evolution of $\lambda(\mu)$ for current experimental values $M_H \simeq 125.7$ GeV and $M_t \simeq 173.34$ GeV. The thick blue line corresponds to the central value of $\alpha_s = 0.1184$ and dashed blue lines correspond to its errors equal to ± 0.0007. The red solid line of Fig. 5 shows the running of the $\lambda_{eff}(\phi)$ for $M_H \simeq 125.7$ GeV and $M_t \simeq 171.43$ GeV, which just corresponds to the stability line, that is, to the stable vacuum. In this case the minimum of the $V_{eff}(\phi)$ exists at the $\phi = \phi_0 \approx 2.22 \times 10^{18}$ GeV, where according to MPP: $\lambda_{eff}(\phi_0) = \beta(\lambda_{eff}(\phi_0)) = 0$. But as it was shown in Refs. [34, 35] by Degrassi et al. and Buttazzo et al. this case does not correspond to the current experimental values.

According to Ref. [34], the stability line shown in Fig. 5 by the red thick line corresponds to $M_H = 129.4 \pm 1.8$ GeV. We see that the current experimental values of M_H and M_t show the metastability of the present Universe vacuum and MPP law is not exact.

5 Could the MPP be exact due to corrections from the new bound state 6 t + 6 anti-t ?

In our Ref. [22] it was shown that if we take seriously the correction to the Higgs mass coming from the bound state S of 6 top and 6 anti-top quarks, predicted early by C.D.

Froggatt, H.B. Nielsen and L.V. Laperashvili [28–30], then we can obtain the SM vacuum stability and confirm the accuracy of the MPP for all experimentally valued parameters (Higgs mass, top-quark mass, etc.).

The relation between λ and the Higgs mass is:

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} M_H^2 + \Delta\lambda(\mu), \tag{16}$$

where G_F is the Fermi coupling, and the term $\Delta\lambda(\mu)$ denotes corrections arising beyond the tree level case (many loops contribution).

Estimating different contributions of the bound state S, we have found that the main Feynman diagram, correcting the effective Higgs self-interaction coupling constant $\lambda(\mu)$ by the constant λ_S, is the diagram shown in Fig. 6, which contains the bound state S in the loop. And now we have:

$$\lambda(\mu) = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} M_H^2 + \Delta\lambda(\mu) + \delta\lambda(\mu), \tag{17}$$

where the term $\delta\lambda(\mu)$ denotes the loop corrections to the Higgs mass arising from all NBS. The main contribution to $\delta\lambda(\mu)$ is the term λ_S, which corresponds to the contribution of the diagram shown in Fig. 6:

$$\delta\lambda(\mu) = \lambda_S + ... \tag{18}$$

Defining a quantity b, which denotes the radius of the bound state S measured with top quark Compton wave length $1/m_t$ as unit by equations:

$$\langle \vec{r}^2 \rangle = 3r^2, \quad r_0 = \frac{b}{m_t}, \tag{19}$$

we obtained the dominant diagram correction contribution given by Fig. 6:

$$\lambda_S \approx \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{6 g_t m_t}{b m_S} \right)^4 \approx 0.01, \tag{20}$$

where we have used the estimated or measured values:

$$g_t = 0.935, \quad m_t = 173 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_S \approx 750 \text{ GeV}, \quad b \approx 2.34 \text{ or } 2.43.$$

Here we assumed the existence of the resonance with mass $M_F \simeq 750$ GeV, which was supposed by the LHC measurements [22].

Using the rather small deviation from the perfect MPP, obtained earlier by Degrassi et al. [34]:

$$\lambda_{high-field} = -0.01 \pm 0.002, \tag{21}$$

and requiring to be cancelled it by the correction from the bound state S, we got the following result:

$$\lambda_S = 0.01 \pm 0.002. \tag{22}$$

This contribution compensates the asymptotic value of $\lambda_{asym} = -0.01$, which was earlier obtained by Degrassi et al. [34], and therefore transforms the metastability of the EW vacuum into the stability, what confirms the MPP concept.

Unfortunately, now recently much discussed at LHC statistical fluctuation peak $F(750)$ has been revealed to be just a fluctuation (see Refs. [37–40]). It is really sad for

our picture that the enhancement known as $F(750)$ decaying into the two gammas and having just the right mass, confirming the vacuum stability, were washed out at LHC so that no statistics remains supporting it. In this connection, we suggest that the bound state S should really exist (see recent paper by Holger Nielsen [32] and Ref. [31]). Very accidentally its mass coincides with the mass of this fluctuation $F(750)$ GeV. In the paper of Ref. [32] Holger Nielsen gives an estimation of the mass m_S of the bound state S by three different ways:

1. from Bag Model: $m_S \approx 830$ GeV;
2. assuming $V_{present} = V_{condensate}$: $m_S \approx 690$ GeV;
3. and $V_{present} = V_{high-field}$: $m_S \approx 780$ GeV.
   Average gives the result: $m_S \approx 770$ GeV.

Remarkable that these three a priori quite different ways give an almost the same results. It means that the degeneracies of the vacua as implicated by MPP could be claimed to have been tested by direct calculations using the parameters of the pure SM. If we can perform non-perturbative calculations sufficiently accurately, we should be able to calculate the above postulated vacua. The "high-field" vacuum requires that the SM is valid up to about 10^{18} GeV. One could imagine that a new physics might modify our calculations, but LHC has already put severe limits, telling that there is no new physics up to a scale of the order of 1 TeV. Then our proposed bound state of a mass of the order of 770 GeV is expected to be not very sensitive to at present acceptable new physics.

At the end, we would like to emphasize: Since our picture is **PURE STANDARD MODEL**, everything can be calculated, in principle. It is only a question of better techniques: Bethe-Salpeter equation, or lattice theory with Higgs field on the lattice. What gives a more accurate check of the MPP and calculation of the bound state mass? This is just a work for theorists. If the answer is negative, then it would mean that the Standard Model is not right also non-perturbatively.

6 Conclusions

In this paper we considered that the correction to the Higgs mass coming from the bound state of 6 top and 6 anti-top quarks leads to the Standard Model vacuum stability for all experimentally valued parameters (Higgs mass, top-quark mass, etc.).

We assumed the existence of a new law of Nature, which was named as a Multiple Point Principle (MPP). The MPP postulates: *There are three vacua in the SM with the same energy density, or cosmological constant, and all cosmological constants are zero, or approximately zero.* We considered the following three degenerate vacua in the SM: a) the first Electroweak vacuum at $v_1 = v = 246$ GeV, b) the second Planck scale vacuum at $v_2 \sim 10^{18}$ GeV, and the third Condensate vacuum, which contains a lot of strongly bound states, each from 6 top + 6 anti-top quarks.

We assumed that there exists a new resonance with mass $m_S \approx 770$ GeV, which is a new scalar S bound state 6t+6anti-t, earlier predicted by C.D. Froggatt, H.B. Nielsen and L.V. Laperashvili. It was shown that this resonance can provide the vacuum stability and exact accuracy of the Multiple Point Principle. We calculated the main contribution

of the S-resonance to the renormalization group evolution of the Higgs quartic coupling λ, and showed that the resonance with mass $m_S \approx 750$ GeV, having the radius $r_0 = b/m_t$ with $b \approx 2.34$, gives the positive contribution to $\lambda(\mu)$ equal to the $\lambda_S \approx +0.01$. This contribution compensates the asymptotic value of the $\lambda \approx -0.01$, which was earlier obtained in Ref. [34], and therefore transforms the metastability of the EW vacuum into the stability.

We predict that LHC can find a new resonance at energy ~ 1 TeV.

Acknowledgments

L.V.L. greatly thanks the B.M. Birla Science Centre (Hyderabad, India) and personally Prof. B.G. Sidharth, for hospitality, collaboration and financial support. H.B.N. wishes to thank the Niels Bohr Institute for the status of professor emeritus and corresponding support. C.R.D. is thankful to Prof. D.I. Kazakov for support.

References

[1] D.L. Bennett and H.B. Nielsen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**9**, 5155 (1994), arXiv:hep-ph/9311321.

[2] B.G. Sidharth, Proc. of the 8th Marcell Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity, Jerusalem, May 1997, ed. T. Piran, (World Scientific, Singapore), pp.476-479 (1997).

[3] B.G. Sidharth, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**13**, 2599 (1998), arXiv:quant-ph/9808031.

[4] S. Perlmutter, B. Schmidt and A. Riess, Nature **391**, 51 (1998).

[5] D.L. Bennett, C.D. Froggatt and H.B. Nielsen, in: Proceedings of the 27th International Conference on High-Energy Physics, Glasgow, Scotland, 1994, eds. P. Bussey and I. Knowles (IOP Publishing Ltd., 1995), p. 557.

[6] D.L. Bennett and H.B. Nielsen, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**14**, 3313 (1999), arXiv:hep-ph/9607278.

[7] D.L. Bennett and H.B.Nielsen, *The multiple point principle: Realized vacuum in nature is maximally degenerate*, Proceedings of Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine **50**, Part 2, 629-636 (2004).

[8] D.L. Bennett, *The multiple point principle: Characterization of the possible phases for the SMG*, Bled Workshops, Phys. **10**, no.2, p.9 (2009).

[9] D.L. Bennett, L.V. Laperashvili and H.B. Nielsen, *Finestructure constants at the Planck scale from multiple point principle*, in: Proceedings of the 10th Workshop on *What Comes Beyond the Standard Model*, Bled, Slovenia, 17-27 September, 2007, eds. M. Breskvar et al. (Bled Workshops in Physics, Vol. 8 no. 2, DMFA-Zaloznistvo, Ljubljana, 2007), arXiv:0711.4681.

[10] D.L. Bennett, L.V. Laperashvili and H.B. Nielsen, *Relation between the structure constants at the Planck scale from multiple point principle*, in: Proceedings of the 9th Workshop on *What Comes Beyond the Standard Model*, Bled, Slovenia, 16-26

September, 2006, eds. M. Breskvar et al. (Bled Workshops in Physics, Vol. 7, no. 2, DMFA-Zaloznistvo, Ljubljana, 2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0612250.

[11] C.D. Froggatt, Larisa Laperashvili, R.B. Nevzorov, H.B. Nielsen and Marc Sher, *Implementation of the multiple point principle in the two-Higgs doublet model of type II*, Phys. Rev. D**73**, 095005 (2006), arXiv:hep-ph/0602054.

[12] C.D. Froggatt, R. Nevzorov and H.B. Nielsen, *Smallness of the cosmological constant and the multiple point principle*, J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **110**, 072012 (2008), arXiv:0708.2907.

[13] C.R.Das and L.V. Laperashvili, *Phase transition in gauge theories, monopoles and the multiple point principle*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**20**, 5911 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0503138.

[14] C.D. Froggatt, L.V. Laperashvili, R.B. Nevzorov, H.B. Nielsen and M. Sher, *The Two Higgs doublet model and the multiple point principle*, Bled Workshops Phys. **5**, no.2, 28-39 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0412333.

[15] C. Froggatt, L. Laperashvili, R. Nevzorov and H.B. Nielsen, *No-scale supergravity and the multiple point principle*, Bled Workshops Phys. **5**, no.2, 17-27 (2004), arXiv:hep-ph/0411273.

[16] L.V. Laperashvili, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **57**, 471 (1994) [Yad.Fiz. **57**, 501 (1994)].

[17] L.V. Laperashvili and H.B.Nielsen, **Multiple point principle and phase transition in gauge theories**, in: Proceedings to the Workshop: *What Comes Beyond the Standard Models?* , Slovenia, Bled, 29 June - 9 July, 1998, DMFA Zaloznistvo, Ljubljana, 1999, arXiv: hep-ph/9905357.

[18] L.V. Laperashvili, *The Multiple point principle and Higgs bosons*, Phys. Part. Nucl. **36**, S38-S40 (2005), arXiv:hep-ph/0411177.

[19] C.D. Froggatt, L.V. Laperashvili, R.B. Nevzorov and H.B. Nielsen, *Cosmological constant in SUGRA models and the multiple point principle*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **67**, 582 (2004) [Yad. Fiz. **67**, 601 (2004)], arXiv:hep-ph/0310127.

[20] H.B. Nielsen and A. Kleppe, in: Proceedings to the 16th Workshop on *What Comes Beyond the Standard Models?* , Slovenia, Bled, 14-21 July, 2014, Vol.14, No.2, DMFA Zaloznistvo, Ljubljana, arXiv:1403.14101.

[21] C.R. Das, L.V. Laperashvili, H.B. Nielsen, A. Tureanu and C.D. Froggatt, *Gravi-weak unification and multiple-point principle*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **78** 3, 440 (2015) [Yad. Fiz. **78** 5, 471 (2015)].

[22] L.V. Laperashvili, H.B. Nielsen and C.R. Das, *New results at LHC confirming the vacuum stability and Multiple Point Principle*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**31**, 1650029 (2016), arXiv:1601.03231.

[23] G.E. Volovik, *Coexistence of different vacua in the effective quantum field theory and multiple point principle*, JETP Lett. **79**, 101 (2004) [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **79**, 131 (2004)], arXiv:hep-ph/0309144.

[24] Kiyoharu Kawana, *Multiple Point Principle of the Standard Model with Scalar Singlet Dark Matter and Right Handed Neutrinos*, PTEP 2015, 023B04 (2015), arXiv:1411.2097.

[25] Kiyoharu Kawana, *Multiple Point Principle of the Gauged B-L Model*, arXiv:1504.06707.

[26] Naoyuki Haba, Hiroyuki Ishida, Nobuchika Okada, Yuya Yamaguchi, *Multiple-point principle with a scalar singlet extension of the Standard Model*, PTEP 2017 (2017) no.1, 013B03, arXiv:1608.00087.

[27] Particle Data Group (K.A. Olive et al.), 2014, 1676 pp. Published in Chin. Phys. C**38**, 090001 (2014).

[28] C.D. Froggatt and H.B. Nielsen, *Trying to understand the Standard Model parameters*. Invited talk by H.B. Nielsen at the "XXXI ITEP Winter School of Physics", Moscow, Russia, 18-26 February, 2003; Surveys High-Energy Phys. **18**, 55-75 (2003); hep-ph/0308144.

[29] C.D. Froggatt, H.B. Nielsen and L.V. Laperashvili, *Hierarchy-problem and a bound state of 6 t and 6 anti-t*, in: Proceedings of Coral Gables Conference on Launching of Belle Epoque in High-Energy Physics and Cosmology (CG 2003), Ft. Lauderdale, Florida, USA, 17-21 December, 2003.

[30] C.D. Froggatt, H.B. Nielsen and L.V. Laperashvili, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A**20**, 1268 (2005), hep-ph/0406110.

[31] H.B. Nielsen, Larisa Laperashvili, C.D. Froggatt, C.R. Das, *Top Yukawa New Strong Sector, Higgs Decay and Production*, a talk by H.B. Nielsen at the Corfu Conference, Greece, September, 1, 2016, PoS CORFU2015, 140 (2016), arXiv:1605.01169 [hep-ph].

[32] H.B. Nielsen, *F(750), We Miss You as Bound State of 6 Top and 6 Anti top*, arXiv:1610.00364.

[33] C.D. Froggatt and H.B. Nielsen, Phys. Lett. B**368**, 96 (1996), arXiv:hep-ph/9511371.

[34] G. Degrassi, S. Di Vita, J. Elias-Miro, J.R. Espinosa, G.F. Giudice, G. Isidori and A. Strumia, JHEP **1208**, 098 (2012), arXiv:1205.6497.

[35] D. Buttazzo, G. Degrassi, P.P. Giardino, G.F. Giudice, F. Salab, A. Salvio and A. Strumia, JHEP **1312**, 089 (2013), arXiv:1307.3536.

[36] V. Brancina, E. Messina and M. Sher, Phys. Rev. D**91**, 013003 (2015), arXiv:1408.5302.

[37] ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B**710**, 49 (2012), arXiv:1202.1408.

[38] CMS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B**710**, 26 (2012), arXiv:1202.1488.

[39] CMS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D**92**, 072010 (2015), arXiv:1507.06656.

[40] ATLAS and CMS collaborations, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 191803 (2015), arXiv:1503.07589.



Fig. 1: The existence of the second (non-standard) minimum of the effective potential of the pure SM at the Planck scale.



Fig. 2: Condensate vacuum filled by bound states 6 top + 6 anti-top.



Fig. 3: Phase diagram with a triple point O of water analogy.



Fig. 4: The stability phase diagram obtained according to the standard analysis. The (M_H, M_t) plane is divided in three sectors: absolute stability, metastability and instability regions. The dot indicates current experimental values $M_H \simeq 125.7$ GeV and $M_t \simeq 173.34$ GeV. The ellipses take into account $1\sigma, 2\sigma$ and 3σ, according to the current experimental errors.



Fig. 5: The RG evolution of the Higgs self-coupling λ for $M_t \simeq 173.34$ GeV and $\alpha_s = 0.1184$ given by $\pm 3\sigma$. Blue lines present metastability for current experimental data, red (thick) line corresponds to the stability of the EW vacuum.



Fig. 6: The Feynman diagram corresponding to the main contribution of the S bound state $6t + 6\bar{t}$ to the running Higgs self-coupling λ.